I saw in the newspaper about the failure of the administration to seek or to report to us about seeking assistance on repaying for the Kosovo operation.

We all know, I think, that, in this Congress for sure we know, it has cost us billions of dollars in Kosovo. We have shelled out probably easily 75 to 90 percent of the cost of that operation. It was really an American operation under the guise of NATO.

I think it was well founded when we put in the legislation that we sent to the President that he signed, that he agreed to report to us his efforts in trying to get contributions from our allies who took so much credit for what was done there and yet paid so little of the cost of that. I think that it is important that this administration come up with the report that is already now 2 weeks late.

Let us know what they are doing, make efforts to be sure that we get some assistance. As we go around the world, as we do our share of keeping peace in the world, we want to do that as American citizens. I do not think as American citizens we want to be taken advantage of, that we want to pay for all of that when there are others in this world equally able to share in that burden.

So I say to the administration, let us have the report. Let us know what they are doing. We should be able to do easily as well as we did when President Bush was President and we got \$53 billion reimbursement for the Persian War, which was a very nice shot in the arm for the American budget and the American taxpayers.

So I say, Mr. President, let us know what you are doing. We really, really need your report on this.

NATIONAL TECHIES DAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. WILSON). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentlewoman from the Virgin Islands (Mrs. Christensen) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN. Madam Speaker, I am here this morning in recognition of the first ever National Techies Day to bring attention to the lack of adequately trained and educated workers to fill the many information and technology jobs that are available today.

Reports estimate about 350,000 Information Technology or IT jobs are currently unfilled in America with an expected 1 million jobs over the next 10 years.

The goal of National Techies Day is to match technology professionals with students, to encourage their involvement in science and technology with particular emphasis on children and disadvantaged communities.

Many of these communities are still without access to the Internet. We must work together to ensure that this many times on the floor of the House digital divide will be eliminated. With Federal initiatives such as the E-Rate to wire all of the Nation's public schools and libraries, we are definitely on the right track.

So I am pleased to support National Techies Day and applaud organizations like the Association for Competitive Technology, the Kids Computer Workshop, and Be Healthy Lifestyles for reaching out to children in urban areas and opening their eyes to the endless possibilities of theirs.

LIBERALS DO NOT CARE ABOUT FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. RILEY) is recognized during morning hour debates for 1 minute.

Mr. RILEY. Madam Speaker, here we go again. Yesterday we debated whether we should allow Federal funding to be used to pay for offensive art exhibits. Last night the Democrats offered a motion to instruct conferees to agree to increase the funding for the NEA and NEH.

I said it then, and I will say it again; under the Constitution, expression must be government protected, but there is no requirement that it be government funded.

Madam Speaker, liberals just do not grasp that concept. What makes the motion even more insulting is that it comes at a time when Congress is fighting to maintain fiscal responsibility and protect the Social Security Trust Fund.

Madam Speaker, this motion only proves what we have been saying all along, liberals do not care about fiscal responsibility. They do not care if American families get a tax cut. They do not care about what the American people want in general. They only care about raiding the surplus to protect their unjustified and often unneeded social programs.

Madam Speaker, it's going to take all of us working together to live within a balanced budget and we will never be able to do so until we set priorities in this Congress.

Social Security is a priority. Funding obscene art is not.

PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. Pallone) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 min-

Mr. PALLONE. Madam Speaker, we are expecting that tomorrow we will have a debate on the Patients' Bill of Rights on HMO reform. We do not have the rule yet coming out from the Committee on Rules, and I have expressed my concern that this rule, this procedure that may be adopted would allow the Republican leadership in the House to add poison pills, extraneous issues to the Patients' Bill of Rights in an effort to defeat it.

But I do not want to dwell on that today because I am still hopeful, still optimistic that that will not be the case and we will be allowed to have a clean vote on the Patients' Bill of Rights and provide for patient protections for those Americans who have their health insurance through HMOs or managed care.

But I am concerned, Madam Speaker, about the fact that, in the last few weeks and certainly the last 2 days, we have had a barrage of ads and articles that are basically put out by the HMO industry, by the insurance companies in an effort to defeat and spread erroneous information about the Patients' Bill of Rights, about the bipartisan Norwood-Dingell bill.

One that I think that we have basically disputed effectively but keeps coming up is the argument that, under the Patients' Bill of Rights, there will be too many lawsuits because now patients will be able to sue their HMO if they suffer damages; and, secondly, that the cost of health insurance will skyrocket because of the fact that there will now be the ability to sue the HMO as well as the various patient protections that are in place.

I think that the Texas law which has been on the books now in the State of Texas for 2 years, very similar to the Norwood-Dingell bill, effectively disputes the cost argument as well as the HMO liability or ability to sue the HMO argument.

Over 2 years now in Texas, there have only been four lawsuits filed against HMOs. In addition, the costs of health insurance premiums for those in managed care have not gone up at all. In fact. Texas rates have actually been less than a lot of other States. The increases have been actually less in Texas than a lot of other States where they do not have patient protections, where they do not have the Patients' Bill of Rights.

But, today, I hear another argument which I think needs to be effectively refuted as well, and that is that, somehow, employers, not the HMOs, but employers are going to be liable to suit under the Norwood-Dingell bill and that because employers will be sued, a lot of employers will drop health insurance, and the ranks of the uninsureds will increase. Well, nothing could be further from the truth.

The fact of the matter is that under the Norwood-Dingell bill, under the Patients' Bill of Rights, we have specific language that shields the employer from being sued in almost every circumstance. An employer would actually have to actually be involved in the